SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G)

W.P. (S) NO. 379 /2007

PETITIONER : Maheshwar Prasad Rathore
Aged about 43 Years,
S/o. Shri Kali Ram Rathore,
Assistant Teacher, Govt.
Primary School Anjoripali
Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary,
School Education Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Director
Public Instruction Department
Raipur (C.G.)

3. District Education Officer
Raigarh District Raigarh
(C.G.)

P.R. No. 3932 dt. 10/11/07

RECEIVED COPY
10/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG 2073/96
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUION OF INDIA

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS**

As mentioned in the cause title.

90

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 378 OF 2007

Petitioner : Tarachand Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 379 OF 2007

Petitioner : Maheshwar Prasad Rathore

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 380 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ramgopal Verma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 381 OF 2007

Petitioner : Tosh Kumar Nayak

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 382 OF 2007

Petitioner : Gokul Prasad Nayak

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 383 OF 2007

Petitioner : Rajendra Kumar Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 384 OF 2007

Petitioner : Mahadev Das Vaishnav

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

(21)

Petitioner	:	Rooplal Patel
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 386 OF 2007

Petitioner	:	Nakul Singh Patel
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 387 OF 2007

Petitioner	:	Chandrika Prasad Patel
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Anil Mourya, counsel for the petitioners.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 23rd Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Sushil Tiwari and others*** vs. ***State Government of Chhattisgarh and others*** (Writ Petition (S.) No.5976 of 2006), dated 7-11-2006.

2. For the aforementioned reasons, these writ petitions are allowed in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of ***Sushil Tiwari and others*** vs. ***State Government of Chhattisgarh and others*** (Supra). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge